## BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

Clark v Western Tradition Partnership now called American Tradition Partnership

No. COPP-2010-CFP-024

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

This Complaint against Western Tradition Partnership (WTP), now known as American Tradition Partnership, grows out of that certain Complaint, captioned *Clark v Assembly Action Fund* No. COPP-2010-CFP-013, filed in July of 2010. By this Notice WTP and the public are informed that, pursuant to §13-37-111(2)(a) MCA and 44.10.307(3) ARM, the Commissioner will in this Matter now consider the coordination/corporate contribution issues, including the involvement of WTP, comparable to those addressed by or inherent in *Bonogofsky v Kennedy* COPP 2010-CFP-15 and the companion matter of *Bonogofsky v Western Tradition Partnership* COPP 2010-CFP-7.

DATED this 12th day of November, 2013.

Jonathan R. Motl

Commissioner of Political Practices

Of the State of Montana

P. O. Box 202401

1205 8th Avenue

Helena, MT 59620

Phone: (406)-444-4622

## COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES



## STATE OF MONTANA

JONATHAN R. MOTL COMMISSIONER TELEPHONE (406) 444-2942 FAX (406) 444-1643 1205 EIGHTH AVENUE PO BOX 202401 HELENA, MONTANA 59620-2401 www.politicalpractices.mt.gov

November 12, 2013

Western Tradition Partnership C/O Quentin Rhoades Attorney at law 1821 S. Ave. W., #300 Missoula, MT 59807

Via: Regular and certified mail

Re: House District 68 WTP Activity

Dear Mr. Rhoades:

I enclose a Notice of a COPP complaint filed against Western Tradition Partnership (WTP) over activities related to its involvement in the 2010 primary election for Montana House District 68. The Notice is self-explanatory. The *Bongofsky v Kennedy* Decision referenced in the Notice is available for reading and printing from the Commissioner's website. Please call Karen at our office if you have difficulty finding the Decision on the website.

Please review the Notice and the *Bonogofsky v Kennedy* Decision. The Commissioner, pursuant to §13-37-111(2)(c) MCA, hereby declares and requires production of all "books, papers, correspondence, memoranda ...or other records" between WTP (including agents) and any candidate in the 2010 HD 84 election. Please assemble any such documents and notify me when I may inspect the same. Section 13-37-208, MCA requires that the treasurer for each candidate and political committee preserve campaign account documents for at least four years.

As Commissioner, I am authorized to inspect records, accounts and books held by a candidate or political committee, administer oaths and affirmations, subpoena witnesses and compel their attendance, take evidence, and require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, memoranda, bank account statements, or any other records that are relevant or material for the purpose of conducting an investigation. (§ 13-37-111, MCA.) Your preservation and production of all such documents is required and destruction of any such evidence is punishable:

- § 45-7-207, MCA. Tampering with or fabricating physical evidence. (1) A person commits the offense of tampering with or fabricating physical evidence if, believing that an official proceeding or investigation is pending or about to be instituted, he:
- (a) alters, destroys, conceals, or removes any record, document, or thing with purpose to impair its verity or availability in such proceeding or investigation; or
- (b) makes, presents, or uses any record, document, or thing knowing it to be false and with purpose to mislead any person who is or may be engaged in such proceeding or investigation.
- (2) A person convicted of tampering with or fabricating physical evidence shall be imprisoned in the state prison for a term not to exceed 10 years or be fined an amount not to exceed \$50,000, or both

At this time the COPP complaint against WTP is made as part of a pre-adjudication process that requires the Commissioner to determine whether or not there is sufficient evidence to adjudicate a violation of Montana's campaign practice laws. This process results in a Decision, such as the *Bonogofsky v Kennedy* Decision, that can lead to civil charges, in the form of a complaint, being brought against WTP in Montana state district court by the Commissioner or a County Attorney.

Any state district court complaint brought against WTP alleging a violation of campaign practice law automatically insures that WTP is provided full civil due process protection and rights through the Court system. While the Commissioner's Decision is the necessary precursor to any such district court complaint, the Decision process also provides WTP an informal opportunity to respond as to why the evidence is not sufficient to support adjudication of any campaign practice violation. I hereby provide WTP 20 days for provision of the information, as demanded above. Please contact me should you have any questions or concerns about this Matter.

Jonathan R. Motl

Sincere

Commissioner of Political Practices